

CS EXECUTIVE TEST PAPER: 5 ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL LAWS

Total Marks: 30 Time: 60 Min. Date: 8 /Jan/2017

CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

Answer to Question 1

As per section 2(1)(d) the word "Consumer" does not include

- One who buys the goods or avails services for commercial purposes.
- One who has not bought the goods.

Thus Commercial Purpose does not include use by a person of goods bought and used by him and services availed by him exclusively for the purpose of earning his livelihood by means of self employment.

For example: Purchase of a car for running it as taxi is not commercial purpose.

When goods are bought for commercial purpose and such purchase satisfy the following criteria:

- The goods are used by the buyers himself;
- Exclusively for the purpose of earning his livelihood;
- · By means of self employment;
- Then such use would not be termed as use for commercial purpose under the Act, and the user is recognised as a consumer.

Example:

A buys a truck for plying it as a public carrier by himself – A is a consumer.

A buys a truck and hires a driver to ply it – A is not a consumer.

However, if such a buyer takes assistance of two or more persons to help him in operating the vehicle or machine etc., he does not cease to be consumer.

Answer to Question 2

The Act protects the following rights of the consumers:

- 1. Right of protection from marketing of goods and services which are hazardous to life and property.
- 2. Right to be informed about the quality quantity purity standard and price of goods and services, so the consumers are protected from unfair trade practices.
- 3. Right to have access to variety of goods and services at competitive prices.
- 4. Right to be heard and to be assured that the consumers' interest will receive due consideration.
- 5. Right to stop unfair trade practices, restrictive trade practices and exploitation of consumers.
- 6. Right to consumer education
- 7. Right of speedy and simple redressal to consumer disputes.

Answer to Question 3

Facts of the given case is similar to H. J. Shah vs. LIC.

In the above case, Supreme Court has held that agent has no authority to receive premium for LIC. Person cannot assume that agent has implied authority to collect premium amount from insured as regulation of LIC strictly prohibit it. LIC can he held liable if it has authorised its agent to collect or receive premium from policy holder by any means, expressly or impliedly.

Answer to Question 4

Pecuniary Limits			
District Forum	State Commission	National Commission	
It entertains cases where the	It entertains the cases	It entertains all the matters	
value of the claim is upto	where the value of the	where the value of claims	
Rs. 20 lakhs.	claim exceeds Rs. 20 lakh		
	but does not exceed Rs. 1		
	Crore.		

Territorial Limits			
District Forum	State Commission	National Commission	
Complaint can be filed here	Complaint can be filed	2	
within local limits of whose	here within local limits of	is whole of India except to	
jurisdiction the opposite party	whose jurisdiction the	the State of Jammu and	
or defendant resides or carries	opposite party or	Kashmir.	
on business or has branch	defendant resides or		
office at the time of making	carries on business or has		
complaint.	branch office at the time		
	of making complaint.		
Any person aggrieved by the	Any person aggrieved by	Consumer Protection Act	
order made by the district	the order made by the	is applicable only if the	
forum may prefer appeal	State Commission may	cause of action arises in	
against order to State	prefer appeal against order	India. If cause of action	
Commission within 30 days	to National Commission	arises out of India,	
from the date of order. State	within 30 days from the	National Commission has	
Commission can entertain	1	no jurisdiction over the	
appeal after the period of 30	Commission can entertain	matter.	
days if there was sufficient			
cause for not filing appeal	30 days if there was		
within 30 days.	sufficient cause for not		
_	filing appeal within 30		
	days.		

Answer to Question 5

Facts of the case are similar to S.P. Dhavaskar vs. Housing Commissioner, Karnataka Housing Board.

Housing Board should carry out necessary work to find out before accepting deposit regarding stability of proposed construction work, soil testing and mud blocks. It should satisfy technical standards and ensure that the house if constructed on the specified land could withstand heavy rains.

After 2 years from booking, if person is refunded the money without interest or given option to purchase alternative house at increased price create financial difficulty and unreasonable burden. Board is grossly negligent in providing services. Therefore, it is justified to award interest and compensation by State Commission. Mohan will succeed.

Answer to Question 6

Fact in question is very similar to case of J.K. Pun Engineers vs. Mohan Breweries and Distilleries Limited.

The air conditioning system was installed at the guest house which was meant for residence of managing director, director and other executives of company during their temporary visit to city. It is installed to provide comfort and it does not have any use for commercial purpose.

At the same time, it can also be observed from the fact of case that it has no close or direct nexus with commercial activities carried out by the company.

In view of above discussion, it can be said that Jolly Limited is consumer for air conditioning system and it is entitled for deficiency in service.

